# Changes in intraocular pressure after intravitreal injection of Ranibizumab

## Asmaa Mohamed Fouad<sup>1</sup>,Mohamed Yasser Sayed Saif<sup>1,2</sup>, Abd El Hafez, Khaled Abd ElAziz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ophthalmology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Beni Suef University, Egypt <sup>2</sup> National Institute for Longevity Elderly Sciences (NILES), Beni Suef University, Egypt

#### Abstract

**Background:** The aim of this study was to detect changes in intraocular pressure (IOP) following initial intravitreal injection of antivascular endothelial growth factor agents anti-VEGF ranibizumab in patients presenting with macular edema due to diabetes mellitus (DM), age- related macular degeneration (ARMD), retineal vein occlusion(RVO), and myopic choroidal neovascularization (CNV).

**Methods**: In this study, a prospective analysis was conducted on 40 eyes of 40 patients. IOP was measured using a goldmann applanation tonometer before injection, 24 h, 1st and weekly up to 8 weeks after injection . Patients were scheduled for intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF ranibizumab (0.5 mg/0.05 ml) for the treatment of macular edema due to(DM), (RVO), (ARMD) ,and (CNV).

**Results:** It was found that there was a significant difference, but still within the normal range value in IOP measured 24 h after injection( $14.2\pm3.54$  mmHg and ranged from (9 : 22 mmHg)) compared to baseline (p = 0.001). There was no significant difference between the IOP from 1 week up to 8W of ranibizumab injection.

**Conclusion:** This study confirms the results of previous studies that showed the safety of initial IV injection of anti-VEGF agents for IOP elevation in post-injection two months in non-glaucomatous patients.

Keywords: Intraocular pressure, ranibizumab

## Introduction

Ranibizumab is anti-vascular an endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agent have been developed that targets and bins the factor responsible for abnormal blood vessel growth and leakage, It inhibit its activity. (1). Ranibizumab was the first drug designed for ocular administration, approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2006. (2). These anti-VEGF agents have been shown to reduce the burden of AMD, DME, and RVO by slowing reversing the vision loss or even

typically associated with these diseases. (3).

The pressure of the aqueous fluid is the pressure of the fluid inside the eye. Tonometry is the method eye care professionals use to determine this. IOP is an important aspect in the evaluation of patients at risk for glaucoma .Most tonometers are calibrated to measure the pressure in millimeters of mercury mmHg. (4). In the general population, IOP ranges between10 and 21 mmHg with a mean of about 15 or 16 mmHg (plus or minus 3.5 mmHg during a 24-hour cycle). (Hashemi, H et al., 2015) (5).

Print ISSN 2636-3224 Online ISSN 2636-3232

#### **Patients and Methods**

This was a prospective, comparative clinical study that was done in El Fayoum Ophthalmic Hospital from March 2018 August to 2018. conducted on 40 eyes of 40 patients. Patients were scheduled for intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF ranibizumab (0.5 mg/0.05 ml) for the treatment of diabetic macular edema, retineal vein occlusion. agerelated macular degeneration, and myopic choroidal neovascularization (CNV). Their mean age was (57.22 ±12.89).

## **Inclusion criteria:**

-All patients who were scheduled for intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF because of diabetic macular edema DME, RVO, ARMD, and CNV.

-All patients should have normal IOP (10-21mm Hg) before the injection with no anti- glaucoma medication. Exclusion Criteria:

-Patients with intra-ocular or extraocular inflammation

-Patients with previous intravitreal injections in the same eye within 6 months from the initiation of anti-VEGF therapy.

## Statistical methods:

Data were coded and entered using the statistical package SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 25. Data were summarized using mean and standard deviation in quantitative data and using frequency (count) and relative frequency

#### Results

This study included fourty eyes that were included in our prospective study.

## **1.Demographic distribution**

-AGE:The mean patients' age was 57.22±SD 12.89 years.

-Patients with significant corneal opacity that could interfere with proper standard IOP assessment such as glaucoma or corneal disease.

-Patients who were diagnosed as having any type of glaucoma or ocular hypertension (IOP >21 mmHg), or using anti-glaucoma medications.

-Patients with medical histories of any ocular trauma or surgeries other than uncomplicated phacoemulsification and posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation

All patients were subjected to: All participants will underwent for ophthalmological examination: A complete ocular examination was

A complete ocular examination was done using the following:

Visual acuity measurement

Slit-lamp examination

Intraocular Pressure measurement

Gonioscopy

Fundus examination

Optical coherence tomography (OCT)

fundus fluorescein angiography (FFA)

Preoperative medications

Intravitreal injection of anti-VEGF

Postoperative IOP measurement

(percentage) for categorical data. For comparison of serial measurements within each group, repeated measures ANOVA was used (6). P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

- **SEX:** This study included 19 men and 21 females as shown in fig1.

Print ISSN 2636-3224 Online ISSN 2636-3232



- DISEASE:

In this study, the main disease was diabetes mellitus 50%



difference, but still within the normal range value in IOP measured 24 h after injection compared to

baseline (p = 0.001) ( p value < 0.05).

IOP	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max	P value compared to baseline
IOP pre	12.5	3	8	20	
IOP day 1	14.2	3.54	9	22	<0.001

**Table 2** shows that, there is asignificant difference between IOPafter 1 day regarding ranibizumab.

Difference in IOP measured compared to =0.016) (p value>0.05).

Tables(1)	and	fig	(2):	pre	esents	the
percentage	of	each	dise	ase	that	was
shared in th	nis st	udy.				

		Count	%	
Disease	RVO	4	10.00%	
	DM	20	50.00%	
	CNV	б	15.00%	
	ARMD	10	25.00%	

## Intraocular pressure (IOP)

The mean IOP before the injection  $12.5\pm3$  mmHg and ranged from a minimum of 8 mmHg to a maximum 20 mmHg.

At 24 h after injection, the mean IOP was 14.2±3.54 mmHg and ranged from 9 mmHg minimum of 22 mmHg maximum. There was a significant

IOP	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum	P value compared to baseline
IOP week 1	13.08	3.46	8	20	0.016
IOP week 2	12.6	3.22	7	19	0.593
IOP week 3	12.65	3.07	8	20	0.361
IOP week 4	12.48	3.26	7	20	0.844
IOP week 5	12.73	3.28	8	21	0.118
IOP week 6	12.55	3.1	8	20	0.66
IOP week 7	12.58	3.12	7	20	0.538
IOPweek 8	12.6	3.14	8	20	0.378

**Figure 3:** IOP before injection, r 24 h, 1 week up to 8 weeks after ranibizumab injection. There was no significant difference between the IOP from 1 week up to 8W of ranibizumab injection.

## Published by : N I L E S



Table 4 shows the IOP changes from W1 to W8 (p value>0.05)

## Discussion

Ranibizumab is a recombinant, humanized, monoclonal antibody Fab fragment against vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A)

<u>Ranibizumab</u> works by slowing the growth of abnormal new <u>blood</u> vessels in the <u>eye</u> and decreasing leakage from these <u>blood</u> vessels.

Intravitreal injection enables highly targeted drug therapy, maximizing therapeutic drug delivery to the posterior pole while minimizing systemic toxicity.

This prospective study included 40 patients who underwent intravitreal injection of 0.05 mL ranibizumab, and measured IOP in a sitting position before and 24 hours, 1week ,and weekly up to 8 weeks after injection.

y ,and found that the mean preinjection IOP was 14 mmHg (range, 7-- 22 mmHg). IOP was reduced to less than In the present study, the mean IOP before the injection of  $12.5\pm3$  mmHg and ranged from aminimum of 8 mmHg to a maximum of 20 mmHg.

At 24 h after injection, the mean IOP was  $14.2\pm3.54$  mmHg and ranged from 9 mmHg minimum of 22 mmHg maximum. There was a significant difference but still within the normal range value in IOP measured 24 h after injection compared to baseline (p = 0.001) (p value <0.05). There was no significant difference between IOP from 1 week up to 8W of ranibizumab injection.

In	(2008)	<u>Kim</u>	<u>JE</u> (7)	and	his
coll	league	C	lid		stud

30 mmHg in 96% of injections by 15 minutes and in 100% by 30 minutes that mean it recorded results as same as

Print ISSN 2636-3224 Online ISSN 2636-3232 our study after 24 h after injection, no a significant changes in IOP value.

In Weerawat (2015)Kiddee and Mayuree Montriwe(8) also studied seventy eyes of 54 patients. Most of them had diabetic macular edema (48%). The mean IOP  $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) before treatment was  $13.7 \pm 2.8$  mmHg. The mean  $\pm$  SDs after treatment at 1 h, 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months were  $11.3 \pm 2.6$ ,  $13.7 \pm 3.6$ ,  $14.1 \pm 3.3$ ,  $14.0 \pm 2.3$ , and  $13.7 \pm 2.4$ mmHg, respectively. There was no anti-glaucoma need for medication(which matched with our study no need for anti-glaucoma medication)(PLoS One. 2015)(9).

In (2009) Gismondi (10)and his colleague did study, IVI causes a considerable short-term transient rise in IOP. The IOP increase after IVIL can be statistically significant at 0 to 30 min after injection in both phakic and pseudophakic eyes, and tends to be greater in shorter eyes.

In Graefe (2008)(11) study, four patients had high intraocular pressure after intravitreal ranibizumab0.5 mg, ocular hypertension occurred 1 month after the second ranibizumab injection in patients 1 and 3, and 1 month after the first ranibizumab in patient 2. In patient 4, it occurred several hours after the first ranibizumab injection. In all the IOP patients. increase was sustained across several visits. requiring control with topical glaucoma therapy, and in two cases, the addition of a systemic carbonic anhydrase inhibitor. None of the patients had a previous history of glaucoma, ocular hypertension, or IOP asymmetry, and the IOP was as high as 30, 34, 46, and 50 mmHg in the four patients. Severe and sustained ocular hypertension may

occur after intravitreal ranibizumab. Although the mechanism of the pressure rise is unknown, all eyes in our series were controlled with medical therapy.

**Dedania VS** did study in (2015)(12), with different results to our study, patients undergoing treatment with intravitreal anti-VEGF agents may experience sustained and delaved elevation of IOP. The incidence of sustained elevation of IOP in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration varied from 3.45% to 11.6%, and few patients required surgical management to control IOP. Possible risk factors associated with sustained and delayed elevation of IOP include, but are not limited to, history glaucoma, phakia, history of of glucocorticoid use, and/or extended treatment duration. There are multiple theories explaining the pathogenesis of sustained elevation of IOP, including microparticle obstruction of the trabecular meshwork. intraocular inflammation, and transient elevation of IOP.

In <u>Hoang QV</u> et al. (Feb 2012)(13) study, a greater number of intravitreal anti-vascular endothelial growth factor injections is associated with an increased risk of IOP elevation >5 mmHg on  $\geq$ 2 consecutive visits in eyes with neovascular AMD receiving intravitreal ranbizumab. To clarify whether the cause of this difference studying changes of IOP with multiple injections is required.

## **Conclusion and recommendation**

The current study confirms the results of previous studies that showed the safety of initial IV injection of anti-VEGF agents for IOP elevation in postinjection two monthes in non-glaucomatous patients. However, there might be a tendency to increase IOP in glaucoma cases and repeated injections, so further studies on the safety of repeated injections in glaucomatous patients, on the number of patients, different ophthalmogical recommended disorders. and for measuring IOP within 30min of injection should be carried out.

## REFERENCE

1- Rosenfeld P. A (2016): Reducing Drug Costs to Medicare Testimony before the Senate Special Committee onaaging. <u>http://www.aging.senate.gov</u> /<u>imo/media/doc/hr236pr.pdf</u>. Accessed December 28, 2016.

**2**- Hutton D, Newman-Casey P, Tavag M, et al(2014): Switching to less expensive blindness drug could save medicare part B \$18 billion over a tenyear period. Health Aff.(Millwood). 2014;33(6):931-939.

**3.-** Virgili G, Parravano M, Menchini F, et al(2014): Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor for diabetic macular oedema. Cochrane Database Syst Rev ;10:CD007419.

**4-** Farandos, NM, Yetisen, et al (2014): <u>"Contact Lens Sensors in</u> Ocular Diagnostics".

AdvancedHealthcarehMaterials.

4 (6):792810. doi:10.1002/adhm.20140 0504. <u>PMID</u> 25400274 .

5- Pooranee (2015): <u>"Do you know</u> <u>about Intra Ocular Pressure?"</u>.Health Education Bureau, Information and Communication Technology Agency, Sri Lanka.Retrieved 2015-11-04.

6- Chan YH (2004): Biostatistics301: Repeated measurement analysis. Singapore Med J.;45(8): 354-369. 7- Kim JE, Mantravadi AV, Hur EY, al (2008) Send to Am J et Ophthalmol. 2008Dec;146(6):930-4.e1.doi:10.1016/j.ajo.2008.07.007. Epub 2008 Sep 5. 8- Myers (Provencher) LA, Almeida D and Abràmoff MD(2015) : Intravitreal Injection Technique: A Primer for Ophthalmology Residents and Fellows. EyeRounds.org. January 6, 2015. 9-PLoS One(2015): 2015 Sep 11;10(9):e0137833. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137833. eCollection 2015. 10- Gismondi, Maurizio MD, Salati, et (2009):Journal al of Glaucoma: December 2009 - Volume 18 - Issue 9 - p 658-661 doi: 10.1097/IJG.0b013e31819c4893 **11-**Graefe's (2008): Archive for Clinical and Experimental **Ophthalmology** Volume 246, Issue 7, pp 955–958. 12-Dedania VS and Bakri SJ (2015) pubmed Retina. 2015 May;35(5):841-58. doi: 10.1097/IAE.000000000000520... 13- Hoang QV, Mendonca LS, Della

T3- Hoang QV, Mendonca LS, Della Torre KE,et al (2012): Effect on intraocular pressure in patients receiving unilateral intravitreal antivascular endothelial growth factor injections. Ophthalmology 2012; 119: 321–326.

Published by : NILES

 Print ISSN
 2636-3224

 Online ISSN
 2636-3232